

## Re: Missouri Sunshine Law Request – ALPR System Records

From Midwest Transparency Audit <mwtransparency.audit@proton.me>

To Lafayette County <lafayettecountyplanning@gmail.com>

Date Wednesday, August 19th, 2026 at 8:10 AM

Dear Ms. Boyer,

Thank you for your correspondence on August 18, 2026.

To be absolutely clear: My August 11 correspondence did not, and was not intended to, expand or modify the scope of the original July 14, 2026 Sunshine Law request. The original Item #2 requested: *"Any documents, electronic agreements, or 'Hotlist' sharing records detailing data access, query capabilities, or network integrations with external law enforcement agencies... via the Flock Safety Cloud platform."*

My August 11 correspondence simply provided the specific technical file names natively generated by the FlockOS system (e.g., SharedNetworks.csv and the "Network Audit Log") to assist your staff in locating the exact digital records that satisfy Item #2, thereby alleviating unnecessary administrative burden.

To resolve any lingering confusion and facilitate the immediate release of these public records, here are the direct answers to your four clarification questions:

**1. Is your August 11 correspondence intended to expand Item #2...?** No. It is a technical clarification of the exact digital records that currently fulfill the original request.

**2. If so, what date range are you requesting?** Because this is not an expansion, this does not apply.

**3. Are you requesting searches conducted by Lafayette County... outside agencies... or both?** Both. The original request asked for records detailing *"data access, query capabilities, or network integrations with external law enforcement agencies."* Any native FlockOS audit log detailing when external agencies queried Lafayette County's local ALPR network, or when Lafayette County personnel queried external networks, is directly responsive to the original request.

**4. With respect to the SharedNetworks.csv... are you identifying that export as a record you believe is responsive to the original Item #2...?** Yes. I am explicitly identifying SharedNetworks.csv as the primary digital record that satisfies the original request for documents detailing *"network integrations with external law enforcement agencies."*

Because the scope of the original request remains unchanged, and because these are native, easily generated digital exports from the active FlockOS dashboard, I anticipate the prompt production of these specific files.

Please provide an updated timeline for the final electronic production.

Sincerely,

Managing Member

Midwest Transparency Audit, LLC

mwtransparency.audit@proton.me

www.mw-ta.org

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

On Tuesday, August 18th, 2026 at 3:57 PM, Lafayette County <[lafayettecountyplanning@gmail.com](mailto:lafayettecountyplanning@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Managing Member:

I am writing regarding Midwest Transparency Audit, LLC's July 14, 2026 Sunshine Law request and your August 11, 2026 correspondence concerning Item #2.

Your original Item #2 requested:

"Any documents, electronic agreements, or 'Hotlist' sharing records detailing data access, query capabilities, or network integrations with external law enforcement agencies . . . via the Flock Safety Cloud platform."

Your August 11 correspondence refers more broadly to "data-sharing and usage logs" and specifically identifies a "Network Audit Log" reflecting external-agency searches. Because the August 11 description appears to encompass records not expressly identified in the original request, clarification is necessary to ensure that the Sheriff's Office searches for and reviews the records you are actually requesting.

Please clarify the following:

1. Is your August 11 correspondence intended to expand Item #2 to include historical search, query, or audit logs generated through the Flock platform?
2. If so, what date range are you requesting?
3. Are you requesting:
  - searches conducted by Lafayette County Sheriff's Office users;
  - searches conducted by outside agencies involving data accessible through Lafayette County's Flock system; or
  - both?
4. With respect to the SharedNetworks.csv referenced in your August 11 correspondence, are you identifying that export as a record you believe is responsive to the original Item #2, or are you specifically adding that native Flock export to the records requested?

The Sheriff's Office will continue processing the portions of the July 14 request that are clear from the original request. However, the additional search and review associated with any newly identified audit-log or usage-log category cannot be completed until the scope of that request is clarified.

The remaining original categories also require additional time to complete the search and review because responsive records may be maintained in multiple locations and by different personnel. The Sheriff's Office is continuing that work and will provide the remaining responsive open records, or a written disposition for each

original category, as soon as reasonably possible. I will provide an updated production date after receiving the requested clarification and confirming the status of the remaining searches.

Thank you for your assistance in clarifying the scope of Item #2.

Sincerely,

Dawn J. Boyer  
Lafayette County Counselor  
1001 Main Street  
Lexington, Missouri 64067  
660-259-4439

On Tue, Aug 11, 2026 at 2:47 PM Midwest Transparency Audit <[mwtransparency.audit@proton.me](mailto:mwtransparency.audit@proton.me)> wrote:

Dear Ms. Boyer,

Thank you for the status update provided on August 10, 2026, regarding the ongoing search for the Lafayette County Sheriff's Office records. We accept the revised timeline and expect the final production of the remaining open records—or a written disposition detailing the specific statutory exemptions for any withheld records—no later than Tuesday, August 18, 2026, at 5:00 p.m. Central Time.

To assist the Sheriff's Office personnel and alleviate any perceived administrative or redaction burden regarding the data-sharing and usage logs (Item #2), we would like to provide some technical context regarding the FlockOS platform.

Because FlockOS is a modern, cloud-native dashboard, the requested sharing parameters do not require complex IT review or manual line-by-line redactions:

- **Network Sharing Configuration:** The active data-sharing matrix can be generated via a standard download from the dashboard (natively exported as `SharedNetworks.csv`). This file simply lists which external agencies Lafayette County shares data with, and which networks are shared back. It contains zero license plate data and requires no redaction.
- **Network Audit Log:** The external agency search logs can be downloaded directly from the "Insights" tab. When exported natively to a CSV, the FlockOS platform automatically masks sensitive license plate numbers with asterisks (\*\*). Generating this native report satisfies the audit log request and requires zero manual redaction by County staff.

Because these are standardized, built-in digital exports maintained on the active dashboard, extracting them requires minimal operational downtime.

We appreciate your continued transparency and look forward to receiving the final electronic production next Tuesday.

Sincerely,

**Managing Member**

Midwest Transparency Audit, LLC

[mwtransparency.audit@proton.me](mailto:mwtransparency.audit@proton.me)

[www.mw-ta.org](http://www.mw-ta.org)

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

On Monday, August 10th, 2026 at 3:28 PM, Lafayette County <[lafayettecountyplanning@gmail.com](mailto:lafayettecountyplanning@gmail.com)> wrote:

Dear Managing Member:

I am writing on behalf of the Lafayette County Sheriff's Office regarding your July 14, 2026 Sunshine Law request for records concerning the Office's use of automated license plate readers and Flock Safety.

The Sheriff's Office has completed an initial rolling production consisting of the responsive Flock Safety contracts located to date. The review of the remaining categories is not yet complete.

Additional time is necessary to complete the search for potentially responsive financial records, data-sharing or access agreements, training materials, retention schedules, and auditing requirements. These records, if they exist, are not maintained in a single location. Completion of the search requires confirmation from appropriate Sheriff's Office personnel and review of the Office's available electronic systems and records.

The Sheriff's Office anticipates completing its search and producing the remaining responsive open records, or providing a written disposition identifying the results of the search for each remaining category, no later than Tuesday, August 18, 2026, at 5:00 p.m. Central Time.

No fee is presently anticipated. If any responsive record or portion of a record is withheld, the response will identify the legal authority supporting that determination.

I apologize that the review was not completed by the previously estimated date. We are continuing to process the request and will provide responsive records as soon as reasonably possible.

Sincerely,

Dawn J. Boyer  
Lafayette County Counselor  
1001 Main Street  
Lexington, Missouri 64067  
660-259-4439

On Fri, Jul 31, 2026 at 6:30 PM Lafayette County <[lafayettecountyplanning@gmail.com](mailto:lafayettecountyplanning@gmail.com)> wrote:

Greetings,  
Please find the second production attached.  
Thank you,  
Dawn Boyer

On Wed, Jul 22, 2026 at 10:52 AM Midwest Transparency Audit <[mwtransparency.audit@proton.me](mailto:mwtransparency.audit@proton.me)> wrote:

Dear Ms. Boyer,

Thank you for your correspondence on July 21, 2026, and for navigating the county's email firewall to deliver the initial production. Midwest Transparency Audit LLC acknowledges receipt of the 20-page Flock Safety Services Agreement.

Your establishment of a firm, rolling production schedule, accompanied by an explanation for the delay, satisfies the requirements of § 610.023.3, RSMo. We accept the proposed deadlines of July 31, 2026, for the next electronic production, and August 10, 2026, for the final production and/or specific statutory closures.

Furthermore, we appreciate your prompt clarification regarding the scope of the search. Your confirmation that the Sheriff's Office will retrieve and produce the operational records, data-sharing logs, and network integration policies maintained on its behalf by Flock Safety resolves our primary concern regarding the initial response from the Department.

We also note and appreciate that no fees are presently anticipated for this production.

We look forward to receiving the next batch of electronic records by July 31.

Regards,

Managing Member

Midwest Transparency Audit, LLC

[mwtransparency.audit@proton.me](mailto:mwtransparency.audit@proton.me)

Sent with [Proton Mail](#) secure email.

On Tuesday, July 21st, 2026 at 12:00 PM, Lafayette County <[lafayettecountyplanning@gmail.com](mailto:lafayettecountyplanning@gmail.com)> wrote:

*Because your Proton Mail messages appear to be rejected by the County's email-security system, I am transmitting this response through an alternate email address to ensure delivery.*

Dear Managing Member:

I am responding as County Counselor on behalf of the Lafayette County Sheriff's Office concerning your July 14, 2026 Sunshine Law request and your July 20 follow-up.

The Sheriff's Office acknowledged and acted upon your request on July 16, 2026. Section 610.023.3, RSMo, requires a request to be acted upon within three business days; it does not require final production of all responsive records within that period. The statute permits additional production time for reasonable cause. The 24-hour deadline stated in your follow-up is not imposed by Chapter 610.

To supplement the July 16 response, additional time is necessary because the request encompasses several categories of records potentially maintained in different locations or systems, including

County contracting and purchasing records, Sheriff's Office policies and training materials, and information accessible through the Flock platform. Responsive records must be located, collected, reviewed for responsiveness, and evaluated to determine whether any information is closed under applicable law.

The Sheriff's Office will produce readily available responsive open records on a rolling basis. Attached is the first production, consisting of 20 pages. The next production will be made electronically no later than July 31, 2026. Based on the information presently available, the remaining records held by or maintained on behalf of the Sheriff's Office will be produced, or their status specifically addressed, no later than August 10, 2026.

No fee is presently anticipated. If chargeable clerical search or duplication costs become necessary, an itemized estimate will be provided before those costs are incurred.

To clarify the earlier correspondence, the Sheriff's Office will search for responsive records in its possession or maintained on its behalf. It will not withhold an otherwise responsive record merely because Flock Safety, the Missouri Department of Public Safety, or another entity also possesses a copy. However, the Sheriff's Office is not required to obtain and produce records maintained exclusively by another governmental body or private entity that are not records of the Sheriff's Office.

If a responsive record or portion of a record is withheld, the production will identify the statutory authority supporting that determination.

Sincerely,

**Dawn J. Boyer**

Lafayette County Counselor  
1001 Main Street  
Lexington, Missouri 64067  
660-259-4439